Filing Date: 01/09/2001

Jan 27 05 05:49p

Reply to Office action of: 01/12/2005 Attorney Docket No.: ARC920010024US1

## <u>AMENDMENT TO THE CLAIMS</u>

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in this application:

## <u>Listing of Claims:</u>

1. (Currently amended) A method for optimizing a hierarchical organization of a network site, comprising:

a processor analyzing user access patterns to automatically locate weak spots in the hierarchical organization, where user's expected locations do not coincide with actual locations; and

the processor determining available corrective measures to compensate for the weak spots.

- 2. (Currently amended) The method according to claim I, further including [[determining available corrective measures to compensate for the weak spots; and]] assessing the corrective measures.
- 3. (Original) The method according to claim 2, further including in response to the assessing step, selectively implementing the corrective measures.
- 4. (Original) The method according to claim 2, further including in response to the assessing step, presenting the corrective measures to an administrator for review.

Filing Date: 01/09/2001

Reply to Office action of: 01/12/2005 Attorney Docket No.: ARC920010024US1

- 5. (Original) The method according to claim 3, wherein the analyzing step includes determining backtracks to identify the expected locations.
- 6. (Original) The method according to claim 3, for optimizing the hierarchical organization of a Web site, wherein the analyzing step includes determining backtracks to identify the expected Web pages.
- 7. (Original) The method according to claim 6, wherein the analyzing step further includes inferring backtracks if at least some Web pages have been cached.
- 8. (Original) The method according to claim 7, wherein the determining step includes adding at least one link from an expected location to a target page.
- 9. (Original) The method according to claim 8, wherein the assessing step includes evaluating a frequency with which visitors expect to find a web page at an expected location.
- 10. (Original) The method according to claim 9, wherein the assessing step includes evaluating a benefit of adding a link between the expected location and the target page.
- 11. (Original) The method according to claim 10, wherein the implementing step includes proposing that a new link be added between the expected location and the target page.

Filing Date: 01/09/2001

Reply to Office action of: 01/12/2005 Attorney Docket No.: ARC920010024US1

- 12. (Original) The method according to claim 9, wherein the implementing step includes automatically adding a link between the expected location and the target page.
- 13. (Original) The method according to claim 9, wherein the analyzing step includes:

importing a plurality of updated weblogs for a Web page; and analyzing the hierarchical organization in light of the weblogs.

14. (Currently amended) A [[system]] <u>computer program product</u> for optimizing a hierarchical organization of a network site, comprising:

an analysis module for analyzing user access patterns to automatically locate weak spots in the hierarchical organization, where user's expected locations do not coincide with actual locations; and

<u>a correction module for determining available corrective measures to compensate for the weak spots.</u>

- 15. (Currently amended) The [[system product]] <u>computer program product</u> according to claim 14, further comprising [[: a correction module for determining available corrective measures to compensate for the weak spots; and]] an assessment module for assessing the corrective measures.
- 16. (Currently amended) The [[system]] <u>computer program product</u> according to claim 15, wherein in response to an assessment of the corrective measures, the assessment module selectively implements available corrective measures.

Filing Date: 01/09/2001

Reply to Office action of: 01/12/2005 Attorney Docket No.: ARC920010024US1

17. (Currently amended) The [[system]] <u>computer program product</u> according to claim 15, further including an assessment module for assessing the corrective measures; and

in response to an assessment of the corrective measures, the assessment module presents the corrective measures to an administrator for review.

- 18. (Currently amended) The [[system]] <u>computer program product</u> according to claim 15, wherein the analysis module determines backtracks to identify the expected locations.
- 19. (Currently amended) The [[system]] <u>computer program product</u> according to claim 18, for optimizing the hierarchical organization of a Web site, wherein the analysis module determines backtracks to identify the expected Web pages.
- 20. (Currently amended) The [[system]] <u>computer program product</u> according to claim 19, wherein the analysis module further infers backtracks if at least some Web pages have been cached.
- 21. (Currently amended) The [[system]] <u>computer program product</u> according to claim 20, wherein the correction module adds at least one link from an expected location to a target page.
- 22. (Currently amended) The [[system]] <u>computer program product</u> according to claim 21, wherein the assessment module evaluates a frequency with which visitors expect to find a web page at an expected location.

Filing Date: 01/09/2001

Jan 27 05 05:50p

Reply to Office action of: 01/12/2005 Attorney Docket No.: ARC920010024US1

- 23. (Currently amended) The [[system]] computer program product according to claim 22, wherein the assessment module evaluates a benefit of adding a link between the expected location and the target page.
- 24. (Currently amended) The [[system]] computer program product according to claim 23, wherein the assessment module proposes that a new link be added between the expected location and the target page.
- 25. (Currently amended) The [[system]] computer program product according to claim 23, wherein the assessment module automatically adds a link between the expected location and the target page.
- 26. (Currently amended) The [[system]] computer program product according to claim 23, wherein the analysis module imports a plurality of updated weblogs for a Web page, and analyzes the hierarchical organization in light of the weblogs.
- 27. (Currently amended) A system having a plurality of executable instruction codes stored on a computer medium, for optimizing a hierarchical organization of a network site, comprising:

a first set of instruction codes for analyzing user access patterns to automatically locate weak spots in the hierarchical organization, where a user's expected locations do not coincide with actual locations; and

a second set of instruction codes for determining available corrective measures to compensate for the weak spots.

Filing Date: 01/09/2001

Reply to Office action of: 01/12/2005 Attorney Docket No.: ARC920010024US1

- 28. (Currently amended) The system according to claim 27, further comprising [[: a second set of instruction codes for determining available corrective measures to compensate for the weak spots; and]] a third set of instruction codes for assessing the corrective measures.
- 29. (Previously presented) The system according to claim 28, wherein in response to an assessment of the corrective measures, the third set of instruction codes selectively implements available corrective measures.
- 30. (Previously presented) The system according to claim 28, further comprising a fourth set of instruction codes for assessing the corrective measures; and

in response to an assessment of the corrective measures, the fourth set of instruction codes presents the corrective measures to an administrator for review.

- 31. (Previously presented) The system according to claim 28, wherein the first set of instruction codes determines backtracks to identify the expected locations.
- 32. (Previously presented) The system according to claim 31, for optimizing the hierarchical organization of a Web site, wherein the first set of instruction codes determines backtracks to identify the expected Web pages.
- 33. (Previously presented) The system according to claim 32, wherein the first set of instruction codes further infers backtracks if at least some Web pages have been cached.

Filing Date: 01/09/2001

Reply to Office action of: 01/12/2005 Attorney Docket No.: ARC920010024US1

34. (Previously presented) The system according to claim 33, wherein the second set of instruction codes adds at least one link from an expected location to a target page.

- 35. (Previously presented) The system according to claim 34, wherein the fourth set of instruction codes evaluates a frequency with which visitors expect to find a web page at an expected location.
- 36. (Previously presented) The system according to claim 35, wherein the fourth set of instruction codes evaluates a benefit of adding a link between the expected location and the target page.
- 37. (Previously presented) The system according to claim 36, wherein the fourth set of instruction codes proposes that a new link be added between the expected location and the target page.
- 38. (Previously presented) The system according to claim 36, wherein the fourth set of instruction codes automatically adds a link between the expected location and the target page.
- 39. (Previously presented) The system according to claim 36, wherein the first set of instruction codes imports a plurality of updated weblogs for a Web page, and analyzes the hierarchical organization in light of the weblogs.